



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights>

letter to editor



Plerixafor in the treatment of progenitor cell mobilization failure: First experience in Algeria

To the Editor: Autologous progenitor cell transplantation (APCT) after a high dose of conditioning chemotherapy is now an established treatment modality for many hematological malignancies. Clinical results and survival after APCT depend on disease chemosensitivity at transplant, efficacy of the conditioning regimen, and quantity of CD34⁺ cells infused.¹

Most autologous progenitor cell mobilization protocols use either a combination of chemotherapy and a growth factor,² or a growth factor alone.³ Mobilization failure in patients is a major therapeutic concern which makes subsequent APCT impossible. A new growth factor called plerixafor,⁴ developed by the pharmaceutical industry, is especially indicated for mobilization failure.

In this context, we report the first experience of the use of plerixafor for CD34⁺ mobilization in Algeria.

A total of 221 autografts were performed at our center from May 2009–31 July 2014. The main indications for autograft were multiple myeloma (MM) (148 patients), Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL) (60 patients), and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) (13 patients). The mobilization protocol involved subcutaneous G-CSF (filgrastim) alone at the dose of 15 µg/kg body weight/day for five days. Mobilization failure is declared when the number of CD34⁺ is less than 2.10⁶/kg body weight. Thirteen patients did not mobilize CD34⁺. With patients experiencing mobilization failure, we used plerixafor at 0.24 mg/kg body weight combined with G-CSF. Harvesting took place six to ten hours after plerixafor injection.

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the 13 patients, who had a median age of 46 years (24–64), were predominantly female (10 W/3 M) and had a median of three treatment lines. Ten patients received a single plerixafor injection, and three patients received one injection per day for two days. Mean CD34⁺ count with G-CSF alone was 0.76 × 10⁶/kg, and with plerixafor was 3.26 × 10⁶/kg (*p* = 0.014). Among the 13 patients who received plerixafor, nine patients received intensification with APCT, with a median CD34⁺ concentration of 2.34 × 10⁶/kg. Engraftment was complete in these nine patients over a 13-day engraftment period. Four patients did not mobilize with plerixafor.

Mobilization failure during APCT is a major concern in the therapeutic management of patients with hematological malignancies. In our series of 13 patients, mobilization failure with

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

N° patient	Age	Gender	Diagnosis	Line treatment	Number of apheresis	Mobilization results
1	49	F	NHL	R-CHOP/DHAP/ICE	3	Successful
2	59	M	NHL	R-CHOP/R-DHAP/ICE	2	Successful
3	26	F	NHL	CHOP/DHAP/ESHAP	3	Failed
4	52	F	MM	VAD/CTD/VCD	3	Failed
5	60	F	MM	VAD/VCD/VTD	2	Successful
6	56	F	MM	CTD/VCD	2	Successful
7	50	F	NHL	CHOP/DHAP/ESHAP	2	Successful
8	24	F	HL	ABVD/DHAP	3	Successful
9	28	F	HL	ABVD/BEACOPP/DHAP	2	Successful
10	33	F	HL	ABVD/BEACOPP/DHAP	2	Failed
11	46	F	HL	ABVD/BEACOPP/ESHAP	3	Successful
12	27	M	HL	ABVD/BEACOPP/ESHAP	2	Failed
13	43	M	HL	ABVD/DHAP/ESHAP	3	Successful

letter to editor

plerixafor affected patients with lymphoma ($n = 3$) and one patient with MM on a multiple therapy regimen.

Plerixafor enabled us to increase CD34⁺ count four fold and to salvage almost 70% of cases of primary mobilization failure with a single injection for most patients ($n = 8$) and two injections for one patient.

In conclusion, our experience in the use of plerixafor enabled us to show effectiveness in autologous progenitor cell mobilization with a salvage rate of almost 70%.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

MA Bekadja *, R Bouhass, S Osmani, M Brahimi, S Talhi, N Yafour, A Arabi

Hematology and Cell Therapy Department, Etablissement Hospitalier et Universitaire 1er Novembre, University of Oran, Algeria
* Corresponding author.
mabekadja@yahoo.fr

© 2015 King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hemonc.2014.11.007>

REFERENCES

1. Milpied N. The role of myeloablation for lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370(6):576.

2. Mohty M, Hübel K, Kröger N, Aljurf M, Apperley J, Basak GW, et al. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell mobilisation in multiple myeloma and lymphoma patients: a position statement from the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2014;49(7):865–72.
3. Talhi S, Osmani S, Brahimi M, Yafour N, Bouhass R, Arabi A, et al. The use of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) (filgrastim) alone in the mobilization of stem cell in the autologous stem cell transplantation. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2013;49(1):97–9.
4. Uy GL, Rettig MP, Cashen AF. Plerixafor, a CXCR4 antagonist for the mobilization of hematopoietic stem cells. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* 2008;8(11):1797–804.