

Non-Cryopreserved Peripheral Stem Cell (pSCs) Autograft for Multiple Myeloma and Lymphoma in Developing Countries

M.A. Bekadja*, R. Bouhass

Department of Hematology and Cell Therapy, EHU-Oran University, Algeria

*Corresponding Author: Bekadja, M.A. Department of Hematology and Cell Therapy, EHU-Oran University, Algeria.
E-mail: mabekadja@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Autologous peripheral blood progenitor cell (PBPC) transplant is a standard indication in the multiple myeloma (MM) and lymphoma. The use of non-cryopreserved PBPCs is not usual despite its safety, feasibility and efficacy. Few data exists in the literature regarding the procedures for non-cryopreserved autologous PBSCs transplant in countries with limited resources. The bibliographical research of this work was limited on sites like PubMed, Google Scholar, using the following articles on the non-cryopreserved autologous PBPCs in hematological malignancies in developing countries have been selected. These papers were analyzed in terms of mobilization, apheresis, preservation and viability, conditioning regimen, engraftment, response and finally survival. This work sums up experience from 11 transplant centres which carried out autografts with non-cryopreserved PBPCs in 517 patients suffering from hematologic malignancies. The results in terms of mobilization showed a median CD34+ = $4.26 \times 10^6/\text{kg}$ in the MM and $4.47 \times 10^6/\text{kg}$ in lymphomas, a viability > 90% and > 75% respectively in MM and lymphomas after a conservation of 24 to 144 hours at +4°C. The engraftment (ANC = 10.1 days, platelets = 14.07 days) and TRM (2.6%) were very satisfactory.

Conclusion: We conclude that this method is easy, efficient and safe. It is expected to grow in developing countries due to its low production cost and procedure simplicity.

Received Date: July 6, 2015

Accepted Date: Aug 19, 2015

Published Date: Aug 22, 2015

Citation: Bekadja, M.A., et al. Non-cryopreserved peripheral stem cell (pSCs) autograft for multiple myeloma and lymphoma in developing countries. (2015) Int J Hematol and Therap 1(1): 1-6.

Keywords: Hematopoietic progenitor on cryopreservation; Autograft

Introduction

Autologous bone marrow progenitor cells (BMPCs) or peripheral blood progenitor cells (PBPCs) is a supportive therapy that allows the use of high doses, intensive antitumoral chemotherapy in hematological malignancies^[1,2]. Many studies have shown the superiority of autologous BMPCs or PBPCs over conventional chemotherapy in hematological malignancies such as multiple myeloma (MM)^[3] or lymphoma^[4-6]. PBPCs are currently used in autografts in hematological malignancies only and BMPCs are reserved to certain indications as haplo-identical allografts, or bone marrow failure. PBPCs are typically cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen at -180°C in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and albumin^[7-9]. Progenitor cells should be washed and cleaned from DMSO prior to use in the patient. This preservation technique requires expensive equipment. In vitro study concerning the use of non-cryopreserved hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs)^[10] was first published in 1957. It was then followed by studies on the conservation of PBPCs at +4°C^[11-13] and their clinical use^[14].

Very few autografts were performed with non-cryopreserved PBSCs and in our opinion there are no published, randomized or controlled, studies on the non-cryopreserved autologous PBPCs. Only two literature reviews on this topic study have been published. The first by Wannesson et al in 2007 on autologous HPC transplantation (bone marrow and peripheral blood) in hematological malignancies and solid tumors^[15], and the second by Al-Anazi in 2012 on the autologous PBPCs transplantation in the MM^[16]. The aim of our study is to report all published data in the field of non-cryopreserved autologous transplant in hematological malignancies in developing countries, to show their feasibility, safety and efficacy with the aim to promote this technology in countries with limited resources.



Methods

Bibliographic research was based on PubMed, and Google scholar, using the following keywords: hematopoietic, progenitor, non-cryopreservation and autograft. We then selected all the articles on the non-cryopreserved autologous PBPCs in hematological malignancies in developing countries. The selected papers were analyzed in terms of mobilization, apheresis, preservation and viability, conditioning regimen, engraftment, response and finally survival. Data from this review were synthesized in a descriptive manner. This included the tabulation of study characteristics and outcomes. In this review all the survival times were calculated from the date of transplant. Transplant-related mortality (TRM) was defined as any death related to a fatal complication in the absence of the underlying disease within 100 d from transplantation. Overall Survival (OS) was defined as the duration from the date of transplantation until death or date of follow-up when the patient was known to be alive. Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of transplantation to disease progression or death (regardless of the cause of death). The OS and the PFS were determined using the Kaplan–Meier estimation with 95% confidence intervals from standard errors.

Results

Research on PubMed and other sites identified several publications and abstracts. Only 11 studies were selected, responding to the criteria concerning non-cryopreserved autologous progenitor cells transplant, in the developing countries. Countries of origin are by alphabetical order: Algeria, Colombia, Egypt, Greece, India, Iran, and Mexico. Morocco began using the PBPCs program in the autografts in two centers (Casablanca and Marrakech), but the results are not published yet. All the 11 studies published from 2000 to 2014, were a retrospective of “single center case series” type. The majority focused on the MM and lymphoma^[17-26], and only one study focused on acute leukemia^[18].

Mobilization

9 groups have performed PBPCs mobilization using G-CSF alone, while 2 groups have used G-CSF in combination with chemotherapy. In Multiple Myeloma, all studies^[17,19,22,23,25,26] have conducted the mobilization with subcutaneous G-CSF alone at a dose of 15 µg/kg/day, or 5 µg/kg twice a day, for 4 to 5 consecutive days. In lymphomas, mobilization was performed using G-CSF (5µg/kg/day for 3 days) in combination with cyclophosphamide at a dose of 1.5 g/kg/day, for 3 days, in 2 groups^[20,21].

Apheresis

The PBPCs apheresis was performed using devices as Haemonetics®, Cobe Spectra® or Optia®. Leukapheresis was started as soon as the flow cytometer counting of CD34⁺ (Cluster differentiation) PBSCs was greater than 1 million cells/µl. The mean number of leukapheresis^[17] was 2 in MM and 3 in lymphomas. In MM, the overall mean of CD34⁺ collected was 4.26 x 10⁶/kg (range, 0.32 to 27.8). It was of 4.47 x 10⁶/kg (range, 1.9 to 24.6) in lymphomas. There was no report of mobilization failure in the published series.

Conservation

The PBPCs collected were saved in the refrigerator at +4°C for a period ranging from 1 day to 6 days^[11,13,9], depending on the type of conditioning regimen used. In the MM, storage time ranges from 1 to 2 days, and it was of 3 to 6 days in lymphoma (Table 1).

Table 1: Patients’ characteristics and results of non-cryopreserved autologous peripheral blood progenitor cell transplantation.

Author	Patients Number	Age (years)	Diagnosis	High Dose Therapy	CD34+ rein-fused	Storage condition
Papadimitriou et al. ^[17]	72	8-69	MM NHL HL	Mel 140-180/ MelVP16	3(0.8-27.8)	+4°C 24-60h
Ruiz-Arguelles et al. ^[18]	46	9-67	MM HL NHL AML ALL	Mel 200	4.68	+4°C 24-72h
Cuellar-Ambrosi et al. ^[19]	47	12-67	NHL MM	CBV/CTX- TBI/Mel 200	1.36 (0-6.32)	+4°C 144h
Mabed et al. ^[20]	28	16-50	HL	CTX/VP16/ Carboplatin	6.4 (3.8-24.6)	+4°C 72h
Mabed et al. ^[21]	32	17-55	NHL	CBDA/ VP16/CTX	>3	+4°C 72h
Lopez-Otero et al. ^[22]	26	42-66	MM	Mel200	7.56 (0.32-14.8)	+4°C 24h
Bekadja et al. ^[23]	54	35-65	MM	Mel 200	3.60 (1.90-10.52)	+4°C 24h
Ramzi et al. ^[24]	45		HL	CEAM	3.4	
Ramzi et al. ^[25]	38	NA	MM	Mel 140-200	NA	+4°C 48h
Kayal et al. ^[26]	92	22-65	MM	Mel 200	2.9 (0.9-7.67)	+4°C 48h
Bekadja et al.	45	17-46	HL	CBV/EAM/ BEAM	3.61 (2.90-21.05)	+4°C 72-144h

Viability

The viability of PBPCs was calculated by Trypan Blue technique and by flow cytometry^[11,14,19]. The average viability was of over 90% in MM and over 75% in lymphomas.

Conditioning Regimen

The conditioning regimen and the myeloablative therapy were dependent on the diagnosis. In MM, all of the studies have used the melphalan at a dose of 180^[17] or 200 mg/m² on D-1^[17,19,22,23,25,26]. In lymphoma, the protocols used were of a different type: MEL 200 (Melphalan 200mg/m²), CBV (Cyclophosphamid, BCNU, Etoposide), BEAM (BCNU, Etoposide, Aracytin, Melphalan), CEAM (Lomustine, Etoposide, Aracytin, Melphalan), EAM (Etoposide, Aracytin, Melphalan), CEC (Cyclophosphamid, Etoposide, Carboplatin), MEL/VP16 (Melphalan, Etoposide) and their duration varies from 3 to 6 days (Table 2).

Table 2: High-dose therapy schedules employed in MM and lymphoma with non cryopreserved progenitor cells autologous transplant.

Disease	HDT combination	Dosage and schedule
Myeloma	Mel 180-200	Melphalan 200 mg/m ² [18] [19] [22] [23] [25] [26] Melphalan 180 mg/m ² [17]
Lymphoma		
	CBV	CTX 120mg/kg + etoposide 400mg/m ² + BCNU 300 mg/m ² [19]
	MEL 200	Melphalan 200 mg/m ² [18]
	MEL/VP16	Melphalan 140 mg/m ² + etoposide 1500 mg/m ² [17]
	CEC	CTX 120 mg/kg + etoposide 30 mg/kg + carboplatine 400 mg/m ² [20][21]
	CEAM	Lomustine 200 mg/m ² + etoposide 1000/m ² + cytarabine1000/m ² + melphalan 140mg/m ² [24]
	BEAM	BCNU 300mg/m ² + etoposide + 800/m ² + cytarabine 800/m ² + melphalan 140mg/m ² (Bekadja)
	EAM	Etoposide 1000 mg/m ² + cytarabine 1000 mg/m ² x2/d + melphalan 140mg/m ² (Bekadja)

CTX: Cyclophosphamid; BCNU: Carmustin

Engraftment

Engraftment was defined by the rate of ANC (Absolute Neutrophil Count) over 500/μl and a platelet count greater than 20,000 /μl, except for one study in which the threshold was 25,000 platelets/μl[17]. The results of engraftment in the different studies are shown in Table 3. The overall mean recovery time of ANC was of 10.1 days (range, 6-27) and that of the platelets was of 14.07 days (range, 7-38). This recovery time was respectively 10 and 13 days in MM and 12 and 14,4 days in lymphoma. No engraftment failure was recorded among the different studies. The overall median rate of the transplant related mortality (TRM) was 2.6%, while it was 0% and 3% respectively in the MM and lymphoma.

Table 3: Results of engraftment with non-cryopreserved autologous peripheral blood progenitor cell transplantation.

Author	N patients	Neutrophils > 0.5 10 ⁹ /l (median days and range)	Platelets >20 10 ⁹ /l (median days and range)	TRM patient %	GF patient %
Papadimitriou et al. [17]	72	9 (6-16)	5 (0-89) > 25 109/l	0	0
Ruiz-Arguëlles et al. [18]	46	14 (0-86)	25 (0-102)	1 (2)	0
Cuellar-Ambrosi et al. [19]	47	11 (9-15); 13 (10-17)	16(11-44); 15(14-20)	6 (12.7)	0
Mabed et al. [20]	28	13 (7-18)	15 (7-20)	-	0
Mabed et al. [21]	32	12 (8-17)	14 (7-19)	3 (9.37)	0
Lopez-Otero et al. [22]	26	27 (0-53)	37 (0-73)	(9.6)	0
Bekadja et al. [23]	54	10 (6-17)	13 (9-24)	0	0
Ramzi et al. [24]	45	11	14	1 (2.2)	0
Ramzi et al. [25]	38	11 (9-21)	13 (10-31)	0	0
Kayal et al. [26]	92	10 (8-27)	14 (9-38)	3 (3.2)	0
Bekadja et al.	45	11 (8-22)	13 (10-24)	(3)	0

Post Transplant Results

Considering the heterogeneity of the studies in terms of diagnosis and intensification protocols, it is difficult to analyze them in relation to response or survival. However, the median follow-up period ranged between 10 and 38.8 months in the MM, and between 16 and 36 months, in lymphoma. The overall survival (OS) and disease free survival (DFS) in the MM and lymphoma are reported respectively in Table 4.

Table 4: Survival of patients with MM and Lymphoma autografts with non-cryopreserved PBPCs.

Author	Patients Number	Diagnosis	Follow-Up (median)	OS	PFS
Papadimitriou et al. [17]	33	MM	NA	NA	NA
Ruiz-Arguëlles et al. [8]	6	MM	NA	NA	NA
Cuellar-Ambrosi et al. [19]	10	MM	NA	NA	NA
Lopez-Otero et al. [22]	26	MM	NA	80% at 76 months	NA
Bekadja et al. [23]	54	MM	10 months	94% at 30 months	94% at 30 months
Ramzi et al. [25]	38	MM	31 months	30 months (median)	27 months (median)
Cuellar-Ambrosi et al. [19]	21	NHL	NA	NA	NA
Mabed et al. [20]	28	HL	16	45% at 24 months	42% at 24 months
Mabed et al. [21]	32	NHL	18	50% at 24 months	43% at 24 months
Ramzi et al. [24]	45	HL	27	27 months (mean)	20 months (median)
Bekadja et al.	45	HL	36	67% at 60 months	58% at 60 months

Discussion

PBPCs autologous transplant is indicated as first-line treatment in the MM^[27-30], in the mantle cell lymphoma^[31], as consolidation treatment in the diffuse large cell lymphoma^[32,33] and as salvage therapy during relapsed or refractory forms of Hodgkin's diseases^[34-38] or non-Hodgkin lymphomas^[39,40]. The number of publications regarding non-cryopreserved autologous transplant is scarce because of the widespread use of the cryopreserved stem cells. In our work, we collected only 11 eligible studies: 10 published^[17-26] and one non-published personal data, dealing with non-cryopreserved autologous transplant in hematological malignancies (lymphoma and MM) in some developing country, from 2000 to 2015. All these studies are of type of single-center, retrospective, non-randomized and uncontrolled, reflecting so the care of patients in real life as well as working conditions of countries with limited resources. Only one study is of prospective type, and included 26 patients with MM^[22]. A total of 517 patients underwent a non-cryopreserved PBPCs autologous transplant including 259 MM, and 231 lymphomas with, in the latest, 135 Hodgkin's lymphoma.

Mobilization

The first step of the autograft is PBPC mobilization. There is no absolute rule in PBPC mobilization, but multiple studies have been published regarding recommendations for improving the harvesting efficiency of PBPCs^[41-44]. Two main methods are used in PBPC mobilization, the first relates to the use of growth factor G-CSF (Growth Colony Stimulating Factor) alone, given subcutaneously at a dose of 10 to 15 µg/kg/day or 5 µg/kg twice a day, for 5 days^[45,46], the second consists in the combination of G-CSF with chemotherapy^[47]. There have not much difference in the performance of harvesting of CD34⁺, but the second method requires hospitalization for the management of aplastic anemia secondary to chemotherapy, whereas in the first method, the use of G-CSF alone can be done at home, which reduces the costs of the autograft procedure. The majority of studies, have achieved mobilization with G-CSF alone especially in the MM and lymphoma, only two groups have used G-CSF in combination with cyclophosphamide^[20,21]. The objective of the mobilization is to reach at least 2x10⁶/kg CD34⁺ in the MM in which the conservation of CD34⁺ is short (24 to 48 hours) and at least 4x 10⁶/kg in lymphomas in which the conservation of CD34⁺ is more longest (3-6 days). Indeed, the optimal figure of CD34⁺ necessary for hematopoietic reconstitution is not known with certainty and a minimum of 2.0 to 3.0 x 10⁶ CD34⁺ cells/kg is typically recommended. In this work, all the studies have achieved sufficient levels of CD34⁺, that was 4.26 x 10⁶/kg (MM) and 4.47 x 10⁶/kg (lymphoma), to perform autografts, and no mobilization failure was reported.

Conservation and Viability

Many studies have shown the possibility to save the PBPCs at +4°C in a refrigerator, for several days, with a final viability of over 80% that allows the achievement of autografts^[11-14]. Preti et al showed that there was no difference in terms of viability and engraftment between conservation at +4°C and cryopreservation of PBPCs^[13]. In addition, cryopreservation requires the use of a preservative liquid, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), which is responsible for several side effects^[48-50] so that it requires a PBPCs washing before their use, on the other hand, it allows a second transplantation if needed. In all published articles, the conservation at +4°C allowed the achievement of autografts with a satisfactory rate of viability of PBPCs despite retention periods up to three days, as in the study of Cuellar-Ambrosi et al^[19], and of Bekadja et al (no published) where the conservation time was up to 6 days.

Therapeutic Intensification

By using high-dose chemotherapy (HD), therapeutic intensification is the most important part of the autograft procedure, as it has a direct anti-tumor effect. Since the 90s, the high-dose chemotherapy of Melphalan type at 200 mg/m² on D-1, followed by the autologous transplant of PBPCs, is considered the standard first-line treatment of MM for eligible patients to this procedure. So, the schedule consisting of administration of the HD chemotherapy (Melphalan) on D-1, enable the conservation of PBPCs at +4°C for only 24 to 48 hours, with an obtaining viability of CD34⁺ cells over 95%, and is perfectly consistent with an autologous transplant of non-cryopreserved PBPCs. In lymphomas, the situation is very different; the intensification protocols used are shown in Table 2. These protocols such CBV^[51],

BEAM^[52], or EAM include administration periods ranging from 3 to 6 days, which need a collection of $\geq 3 \times 10^6$ CD34⁺/kg for varying a viability of 75% to 85%.

The conservation of the PBPCs up to 7 or 8 days is then feasible, but needs to obtain a number of CD34⁺ cells $\geq 3 \times 10^6$ /kg at time of the reinfusion of the PBPCs. So, the major difficulty is in the mobilization, especially among patients who received multiple lines of therapy. In consolidation phase, especially in the mantle cell lymphoma or in the diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL)^[53,54], the probability to obtain a number of CD34⁺ cells $\geq 3 \times 10^6$ /kg is very high view the low number of chemotherapy lines, and non-cryopreserved PBPCs can be used easily. The improvement of the autograft results in lymphomas will certainly with the reduction of the number of chemotherapy cycles, by early assessment of the PET scan response, and by availability of new intensification protocols, more myeloablative, as consolidation phase after the first-line induction. These regimens will allow the use of non-cryopreserved PBPCs, view the high possibilities of their mobilization.

Engraftment

Engraftment was evidenced by the rate of the ANC and platelets count. The aplastic phase was managed either with or without growth factor in case of profound neutropenia. Globally the median length of the ANC and platelets rate was similar to that of autografts using cryopreserved PBPCs^[55] both in the MM^[56] and lymphoma. Nevertheless in the lymphoma, duration was a little longer due to the number of previous chemotherapy and refractory nature of lymphoma^[57-59]. Overall, these numbers show a hospitalization less than 21 days in the MM and 25 days in the lymphoma, that which classifies the non-cryopreserved autologous transplant in the favorable group according to Lanza et al.^[55]. No engraftment failure or complications related to the infusion of PBPCs were mentioned. In addition, among 517 autografts, 2.6% of patients died as a result of the procedure, particularly in the autologous transplant in lymphoma. This rate of TRM is comparable to that found in the literature; demonstrating the safety of non-cryopreserved autologous PBPC. Thus, the technique of autologous with non-cryopreserved PBPCs is a simple, reliable and feasible method. It is also safe, effective and less costly.

Very few teams in developing country use this procedure, whereas the need for care is important, especially in hematologic malignancies. The Eastern Mediterranean Bone Marrow Transplantation group, (EMBT) who represents the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMRO) comprising 10 countries namely, Algeria, Morocco, Tunisia, Egypt, Lebanon, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, Jordan and the Sultanate of Oman. The 2008-2009 report has shown an activity rate of autografts of 36.5% (n = 483/1322 total first transplants) in these countries, versus 59% (n = 16591/28033 total first transplants) in the developed-country^[60]. The report also highlights the limited number of transplant centers in developing countries which is 14 versus 647, i.e. 46 times greater for 2009, in developed countries^[61]. Moreover, the average number of Transplant Center is 14/country in the EMBT Group which count 48 countries in 2009 versus 1,4 /country in the EMBMT group which has 10 countries. The number of centers per 10 million inhabitants is respectively 7.6 and 0.3 in developed countries versus developing countries, and the number of transplants per 10 million inhabitants is 467 and 28.7 in

the EBMT and EMBMT Groups respectively. So this situation showed that new transplant centers and particularly the development of the non-cryopreserved autologous PBPCs transplant in these countries and other resource-constrained countries are necessary.

Conclusion

In conclusion, autologous PBPCs transplant is very suitable for therapeutic limited-resource countries. Its interest is its simplicity of implementation, its lower cost and ability to autograph a large number of patients.

References

- Kessinger, A., Armitage, J.O., Landmark, J.D., et al. Reconstitution of human hematopoietic function with autologous cryopreserved circulating stem cells. (1986) *Exp Hematol* 14(3): 192-196.
- Kessinger, A., Armitage, J.O., Landmark, J.D., et al. Autologous peripheral hematopoietic stem cell transplantation restores hematopoietic function following marrow ablative therapy. (1988) *Blood* 71(3): 723-727.
- Fernand, J.P., Katsahian, S., Divine, M., et al. High-dose therapy and autologous blood stem-cell transplantation compared with conventional treatment in myeloma patients aged 55 to 65 years: long-term results of a randomized control trial from the Group Myelome-Autogreffe. (2005) *J Clin Oncol* 23(36): 9227-9233.
- Philip, T., Guglielmi, C., Hagenbeek, A., et al. Autologous bone marrow transplantation as compared with salvage chemotherapy in relapses of chemotherapy-sensitive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. (1995) *N Engl J Med* 333(23): 1540-1545.
- Andre, M., Henry-Amar, M., Pico, J.L., et al. Comparison of high-dose therapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation with conventional therapy for Hodgkin's disease induction failure: a case-control study. *Société Française de Greffe de Moelle*. (1999) *J Clin Oncol* 17(1): 222-229.
- Carella, A.M., Bellei, M., Brice, P., et al. High-dose therapy and autologous stem cell transplantation versus conventional therapy for patients with advanced Hodgkin's lymphoma responding to front-line therapy: long-term results. (2009) *Haematologica* 94(1): 146-148.
- Bakken, A.M. Cryopreserving human peripheral blood progenitor cells. (2006) *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther* 1(1): 47-54.
- Fleming, K.K., Hubel, A. Cryopreservation of hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic stem cells. (2006) *Transfus Apher Sci* 34(3): 309-315.
- Berz, D., Mc.Cormack, E.M., Winer, E.S., et al. Cryopreservation of hematopoietic stem cells. (2007) *Am J Hematol* 82(6): 463-472.
- Billen, D. Recovery of lethally irradiated mice by treatment with bone marrow cells maintained in vitro. (1957) *Nature* 179(4559): 574-575.
- Ahmed, T., Wuest, D., Ciavarella, D., et al. Marrow storage techniques: a clinical comparison of refrigeration versus cryopreservation. (1991) *Acta Haematologica* 85(4): 173-178.
- Sierra, J., Conde, E., Iriando, A., et al. Frozen vs. nonfrozen bone marrow for autologous transplantation in lymphomas: a report from the Spanish GEL/TAMO Cooperative Group. (1993) *Annals of Hematology* 67(3): 111-114.
- Preti, R.A., Razis, E., Ciavarella, D., et al. Clinical and laboratory comparison study of refrigerated and cryopreserved bone marrow for transplant. (1994) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 13(3): 253-260.
- Hechler, G., Weide, R., Heymanns, J., et al. Storage of noncryopreserved peripheral blood stem cells for transplantation. (1996) *Annals of Hematology* 72(5): 303-306.
- Wannesson, L., Panzarella, T., Mikhael, J., et al. Feasibility and safety of autotransplants with noncryopreserved marrow or peripheral blood stem cells: a systematic review. (2007) *Ann Oncol* 18(4): 623-632.
- Al-Anazi, K.A. Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma without Cryopreservation. (2012) *Bone Marrow Research* : 917361.
- Papadimitriou, C.A., Dimopoulos, M.A., Kouvelis, V., et al. Non-cryopreserved peripheral blood progenitor cells collected by a single very large-volume leukapheresis: a simplified and effective procedure for support of high-dose chemotherapy. (2000) *J Clin Apher* 15(4): 236-241.
- Ruiz-Arguelles, G.J., Gomez-Rangel, D., Ruiz-Delgado, G.J., et al. Results of an autologous noncryopreserved, unmanipulated peripheral blood hematopoietic stem cell transplant program: a single-institution, 10-year experience. (2003) *Acta Haematol* 110(4): 179-183.
- Cuellar-Ambrosi, F., Karduss, U.A., Gomez, W.R., et al. Hematologic reconstitution following high-dose and supralethalchemoradiotherapy using stored, noncryopreserved autologous hematopoietic stem cells. (2004) *Transplantation proceedings* 36(6): 1704-1705.
- Mabed, M., Shamaa, S. High-dose chemotherapy plus non-cryopreserved autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation rescue for patients with refractory or relapsed Hodgkin disease. (2006) *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation* 12(9): 942-948.
- Mabed, M., Al-Kgodary, T. Cyclophosphamide, etoposide and carboplatine plus non-cryopreserved autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation rescue for patients with refractory or relapsed non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. (2006) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 37(8): 739-743.
- Lopez-Otero, A., Ruiz-Delgado, G.J., Ruiz-Arguelles, G.J., et al. A simplified method for stem cell autografting in multiple myeloma: a single institution experience. (2009) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 44(11): 715-719.
- Bekadja, M.A., Brahim, M., Osmani, S., et al. A simplified method for autologous stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma. (2012) *Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther* 5(1): 49-53.
- Ramzi, M., Mohamadian, M., Vojdani, R., et al. Autologous non-cryopreserved hematopoietic stem cell transplant with CEAM as a modified conditioning regimen in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma: a single-center experience with a new protocol. (2012) *Exp and Clin Transplant* 10(2): 163-167.
- Ramzi, M., Zakerinia, M., Nourani, H., et al. Non-cryopreserved hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma, a single center experience. (2012) *Clin Transplant* 26(1): 117-122.
- Kayal, S., Sharma, A., Iqbal, S., et al. High-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma: a single institution experience at All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, using non-cryopreserved peripheral blood stem cells. (2014) *Clinical Lymphoma, Myeloma & Leukemia* 14(2): 140-147.
- Palumbo, A., Sezer, O., Kyle, R., et al. International Myeloma Working Group guidelines for the management of multiple myeloma patients ineligible for standard high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplantation. (2009) *Leukemia* 23(10): 1716-1730.
- Rajkumar, S.V. Multiple myeloma: 2011 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. (2011) *Am J Hematol* 86(1): 57-65.
- Moreau, P., Avet-Loiseau, H., Harousseau, J.L., et al. Current trends in autologous stem-cell transplantation for myeloma in the era of novel therapies. (2011) *J Clin Oncol* 29(14): 1898-1906.
- Tosi, P., Imola, M., Mianulli, A.M., et al. Update on the role of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma. (2012) *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis* 4(1).
- Salek, D., Vesela, P., Boudova, L., et al. Retrospective analysis of 235 unselected patients with mantle cell lymphoma confirms prognostic relevance of Mantle Cell Lymphoma International Prognostic Index and Ki-67 in the era of rituximab: long-term data from the Czech Lymphoma Project Database. (2014) *Leuk Lymphoma* 55(4): 802-810.
- Redondo, A.M., Pomares, H., Vidal, M.J., et al. Impact of prior rituximab on outcomes of autologous stem-cell transplantation in patients with relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell lymphoma: a multicentre retrospective Spanish group of lymphoma/autologous bone marrow

- transplant study. (2014) *B J Haematol* 164(5): 668-674.
33. Shin, H.J., Lee, W.S., Lee, H.S., et al. Busulfan-containing conditioning regimens are optimal preparative regimens for autologous stem cell transplant in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. (2014) *Leuk Lymphoma* 55(11): 2490-2496.
34. Fleury, J., Legros, M., Colombat, P., et al. High-dose therapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation in first complete or partial remission for poor prognosis Hodgkin's disease. (1996) *Leuk Lymphoma* 20(3-4): 259-266.
35. Moreau, P., Milpied, N., Voillat, L., et al. Peripheral blood stem cell transplantation as front-line therapy in patients aged 61 to 65 years: a pilot study. (1998) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 21(12): 1193-1196.
36. Brusamolino, E., Bacigalupo, A., Barosi, G., et al. Classical Hodgkin's lymphoma in adults: guidelines of the Italian Society of Hematology, the Italian Society of Experimental Hematology, and the Italian Group for Bone Marrow Transplantation on initial work-up, management, and follow-up. (2009) *Haematologica* 94(4): 550-565.
37. Hahn, T., McCarthy, P.L., Carreras, J., et al. Simplified validated prognostic model for progression-free survival after autologous transplantation for hodgkin lymphoma. (2013) *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 19(12): 1740-1744.
38. Isidori, A., Piccaluga, P.P., Loscocco F., et al. High-dose therapy followed by stem cell transplantation in Hodgkin's lymphoma: past and future. (2013) *Expert Rev Hematol* 6(4): 451-464.
39. Van Den Neste, E., Casasnovas, O., Andre, M., et al. Classical Hodgkin's lymphoma: the Lymphoma Study Association guidelines for relapsed and refractory adult patients eligible for transplant. (2013) *Haematologica* 98(8): 1185-1195.
40. Milpied, N. Myeloablation for lymphoma--question answered? (2013) *N Engl J Med* 369(18): 1750-1751.
41. Bezwoda, W.R., Dansey, R., Seymour, L., Non-cryopreserved, limited number (1 or 2) peripheral blood progenitor cell (PBPC) collections following G-CSF administration provide adequate hematologic support for high dose chemotherapy. (1994) *Hematol Oncol* 12(3): 101-110.
42. Demirer, T., Petersen, F.B., Bensinger, W.I., et al. Autologous transplantation with peripheral blood stem cells collected after granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. (1996) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 18(1): 29-34.
43. Kumar, S.K., Mikhael, J.R., Buadi, F.K., et al. Management of newly diagnosed symptomatic multiple myeloma: updated Mayo Stratification of Myeloma and Risk-Adapted Therapy (mSMART) consensus guidelines. (2009) *Mayo Clin Proc* 84(12): 1095-1110.
44. Mohty, M., Hubel, K., Kroger, N., et al. Autologous haematopoietic stem cell mobilisation in multiple myeloma and lymphoma patients: a position statement from the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. (2014) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 49(7): 865-872.
45. Talhi, S., Osmani, S., Brahimi, M., et al. The use of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) (filgrastim) alone in the mobilization of stem cell in the autologous stem cell transplantation. (2013) *Transfusion Apheresis Sci* 49(1): 97-99.
46. Yafour, N., Brahimi, M., Osmani, S., et al. Biosimilar G-CSF (filgrastim) is effective for peripheral blood stem cell mobilization and non-cryopreserved autologous transplantation. (2013) *Transfus cliniq biol* 20(5-6): 502-504.
47. Ford, C.D., Greenwood, J., Anderson, J., et al. CD34+ cell adhesion molecule profiles differ between patients mobilized with granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor alone and chemotherapy followed by granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor. (2006) *Transfusion* 46(2): 193-198.
48. de Boer, F., Drager, A.M., Pinedo, H.M., et al. Extensive early apoptosis in frozen-thawed CD34-positive stem cells decreases threshold doses for haematological recovery after autologous peripheral blood progenitor cell transplantation. (2002) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 29(3): 249-255.
49. Windrum, P., Morris, T.C. Severe neurotoxicity because of dimethyl sulphoxide following peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. (2003) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 31(4): 315.
50. Hoyt, R., Szer, J., Grigg, A., et al. Neurological events associated with the infusion of cryopreserved bone marrow and/or peripheral blood progenitor cells. (2000) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 25(12): 1285-1287.
51. Lobo, F., Kessinger, A., Landmark, J.D., et al. Addition of peripheral blood stem cells collected without mobilization techniques to transplanted autologous bone marrow did not hasten marrow recovery following myeloablative therapy. (1991) *Bone Marrow Transplant* 8(5): 389-392.
52. Smith, R.J., Sweetenham, J.W. A mononuclear cell dose of 3 x 10(8)/kg predicts early multilineage recovery in patients with malignant lymphoma treated with carmustine, etoposide, Ara-C and melphalan (BEAM) and peripheral blood progenitor cell transplantation. (1995) *Exp Hematol* 23(14): 1581-1588.
53. Visani, G., Picardi, P., Tosi, P., et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation for aggressive lymphomas. (2012) *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis* 4(1): e2012075.
54. Fitoussi, O., Belhadj, K., Mounier, N., et al. Survival impact of rituximab combined with ACVBP and upfront consolidation autotransplantation in high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma for GELA. (2011) *Haematologica* 96(8): 1136-1143.
55. Lanza, F., Campioni, D.C., Hellmann, A., et al. Individual quality assessment of autografting by probability estimation for clinical endpoints: a prospective validation study from the European group for blood and marrow transplantation. (2013) *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 19(12): 1670-1676.
56. Kristinsson, S.Y., Anderson, W.F., Landgren, O. Improved long-term survival in multiple myeloma up to the age of 80 years. (2014) *Leukemia* 28(6): 1346-1348.
57. Stiff, P.J., Unger, J.M., Cook, J.R., et al. Autologous transplantation as consolidation for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. (2013) *N Engl J Med* 369(18): 1681-1690.
58. Rancea, M., Will, A., Borchmann, P., et al. Fifteenth biannual report of the Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group--focus on non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. (2013) *J Natl Cancer Inst* 105(15): 1159-1170.
59. Cook, G., Williams, C., Brown, J.M., et al. High-dose chemotherapy plus autologous stem-cell transplantation as consolidation therapy in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma after previous autologous stem-cell transplantation (NCRI Myeloma X Relapse [Intensive trial]): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. (2014) *The lancet oncology* 15(8): 874-885.
60. Mohamed, S.Y., Fadhil, I., Hamladji, R.M., et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMRO) 2008-2009: report on behalf of the Eastern Mediterranean Bone Marrow Transplantation (EMBT) Group. (2011) *Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther* 4(2): 81-93.
61. Baldomero, H., Gratwohl, M., Gratwohl, A., et al. The EBMT activity survey 2009: trends over the past 5 years. (2011) *Bone marrow transplant* 46(4): 485-501.